## **UNREPORTED**

## IN THE COURT OF SPECIAL APPEALS

## **OF MARYLAND**

No. 0913

September Term, 2015

\_\_\_\_\_

DARYL J. DANIELS

v.

SEDONA INVESTMENTS, LLC

\_\_\_\_\_

Krauser, C.J., Nazarian, Eyler, James R. (Retired, Specially Assigned),

JJ.

PER CURIAM

\_\_\_\_\_

Filed: June 20, 2016

<sup>\*</sup>This is an unreported opinion, and it may not be cited in any paper, brief, motion, or other document filed in this Court or any other Maryland Court as either precedent within the rule of stare decisis or as persuasive authority. Md. Rule 1-104.

Daryl J. Daniels, appellant, appeals the judgment, by the Circuit Court for Baltimore City, foreclosing the right of redemption in a tax sale foreclosure case. Daniels does not claim that the circuit court erred in entering judgment nor does he dispute the redemption amount. Rather, Daniels maintains that he did not receive a responsive pleading filed by Sedona Investments, LLC, appellee, explaining how the redemption amount was calculated, and he asserts that the pleading would have provided him the "clarity" he sought before paying the redemption amount. We have thoroughly reviewed the record and find no error. The certificate of service on the pleading at issue indicates that it was mailed to Daniels three months before judgment was entered. Moreover, Daniels received notice of the court's "Order Establishing Redemption Amount" more than five months before judgment was entered. The record reflects that the court extended, by four months, the time in which Daniels had to pay the redemption amount, but he took no steps to do so.

JUDGMENT OF THE CIRCUIT COURT FOR BALTIMORE CITY AFFIRMED. COSTS TO BE PAID BY APPELLANT.