

UNREPORTED
IN THE APPELLATE COURT
OF MARYLAND

No. 1954

September Term, 2024

MICAH HILL

v.

321 HENDERSON RECEIVABLES
ORIGINATION, LLC

Leahy,
Albright,
Kehoe, Christopher B.
(Senior Judge, Specially Assigned),

JJ.

PER CURIAM

Filed: November 7, 2025

*This is a per curiam opinion. Under Rule 1-104, the opinion is not precedent within the rule of stare decisis nor may it be cited as persuasive authority.

Micah Hill, appellant, and American General Assignment Corporation entered into a structured settlement agreement to resolve a personal injury claim. The agreement provided for certain periodic payments to be made to appellant. 321 Henderson Receivables Origination, LLC, appellee, subsequently agreed to purchase the remaining structured settlement payments owed to appellant in exchange for a net purchase price of \$28,680.00. In May 2008, the Circuit Court for Prince George’s County entered an order approving the purchase of the structured settlement payments.

In September 2024, appellant filed a petition for contempt, naming appellee as the defendant, and summarily claiming that appellee’s counsel at the time of the purchase had failed to obey the court’s 2008 order. On November 2, 2024, the court denied the petition for contempt for “lack of service.” This appeal followed.

Appeals of denials of contempt - unlike appeals from grants of contempt - are not appealable judgments. Cts. & Jud. Proc. Art. § 12-304; *Pack Shack, Inc. v. Howard Cnty.*, 371 Md. 243, 254 (2002) (holding that CJP § 12-304 does not allow a right to an appeal by “a party who unsuccessfully seeks to have another party held in contempt” (quotation marks and citation omitted)). Because appellant was not held in contempt, he cannot appeal the court’s denial of his petition for contempt. Consequently, we lack jurisdiction to review the court’s decision and must dismiss the appeal.

**APPEAL DISMISSED. COSTS TO BE
PAID BY APPELLANT.**