

Circuit Court for Wicomico County
Case No. C-22-CR-17-000029

UNREPORTED
IN THE APPELLATE COURT
OF MARYLAND

No. 1463

September Term, 2025

IN RE: EXPUNGEMENT PETITION OF
RICHARD M.

Nazarian,
Leahy,
Sharer, J. Frederick
(Senior Judge, Specially Assigned),

JJ.

PER CURIAM

Filed: June 26, 2026

*This is a per curiam opinion. Under Rule 1-104, the opinion is not precedent within the rule of stare decisis, nor may it be cited as persuasive authority.

Richard M., appellant, appeals from the denial, by the Circuit Court for Wicomico County, of a petition for expungement of records. “[O]n January 17, 2017, a criminal information was filed . . . charging appellant with thirty-eight offenses related to [a] bank robbery.” *In re Richard M.*, 256 Md. App. 445, 450 (2022) (footnote omitted). “[O]n February 16, 2017, nolle prosequi was entered as to the criminal information.” *Id.* “On April 13, 2021, appellant filed a petition for expungement . . . on the ground that a nolle prosequi had been entered in that case.” *Id.* at 451. The court denied the petition. *Id.* at 452. On appeal, appellant contended that the “court violated his rights to procedural due process and equal protection of the laws[.]” *Id.* at 457. We rejected the contention, stating: “Where the appellant was ineligible for expungement and the court followed the governing Rule there is no denial of due process or the equal protection of the laws.” *Id.* at 466. On June 25, 2025, appellant filed another petition for expungement, in which he again requested expungement on the ground that “a nolle prosequi was entered” in the case. The court denied the petition.

Appellant now contends that, for numerous reasons, the court erred in denying the petition. But, we have previously concluded that appellant is ineligible for expungement. The law of the case precludes further review of the matter, and hence, we shall not revisit our conclusion.

**JUDGMENT OF THE CIRCUIT COURT
FOR WICOMICO COUNTY AFFIRMED.
COSTS TO BE PAID BY APPELLANT.**