

UNREPORTED  
IN THE APPELLATE COURT  
OF MARYLAND

No. 551

September Term, 2025

---

IN THE MATTER OF MICAH HILL

---

Graeff,  
Berger,  
Wright, Alexander, Jr.  
(Senior Judge, Specially Assigned),

JJ.

---

PER CURIAM

---

Filed: May 7, 2026

\*This is a per curiam opinion. Under Rule 1-104, the opinion is not precedent within the rule of stare decisis nor may it be cited as persuasive authority.

Micah Hill, appellant, filed a petition for judicial review in the Circuit Court for Prince George’s County, wherein he sought review of a decision of the “Office of Administrative Hearings Administrative Deputy Director of Operations” in the case of “Micah Hill v. National Instant Criminal Background Check System.” The petition did not include a case number from the administrative agency or a copy of the decision from which he was seeking review. On February 6, 2025, the court entered an order noting that appellant had not “caption[ed] his case in the form required by the Rules of the Court, provide[d] the caption of the case before the agency, nor identif[ied] the order for which review was sought.” The court therefore ordered appellant to file an amended petition which complied with Maryland Rule 7-202 by February 28, 2025. On March 5, 2025, appellant filed an amended petition, which again, did not clearly identify a final agency decision from which he was seeking review. The court entered an order on April 17, 2025, dismissing appellant’s petition for judicial review. This appeal followed.

Appellant’s brief does not raise any issues or otherwise indicate why he believes the circuit court erred in dismissing his petition for judicial review. Consequently, we shall affirm the judgment. *See Klauenberg v. State*, 355 Md. 528, 552 (1999) (“[A]rguments not presented in a brief or not presented with particularity will not be considered on appeal.”).

**JUDGMENT OF THE CIRCUIT  
COURT FOR PRINCE GEORGE’S  
COUNTY AFFIRMED. COSTS TO  
BE PAID BY APPELLANT.**