

Circuit Court for Frederick County  
Case No.: 10-K-12-052408

UNREPORTED  
IN THE APPELLATE COURT  
OF MARYLAND\*

No. 186

September Term, 2023

---

MONTRELLE DENARD BOWIE

v.

STATE OF MARYLAND

---

Friedman,  
Shaw,  
Meredith, Timothy E.  
(Senior Judge, Specially Assigned),

JJ.

---

PER CURIAM

---

Filed: September 6, 2023

\*This is a per curiam opinion. Consistent with Rule 1-104, the opinion is not precedent within the rule of stare decisis nor may it be cited as persuasive authority.

\*At the November 8, 2022 general election, the voters of Maryland ratified a constitutional amendment changing the name of the Court of Special Appeals of Maryland to the Appellate Court of Maryland. The name change took effect on December 14, 2022.

Montrelle Denard Bowie, appellant, appeals from the denial, by the Circuit Court for Frederick County, of his “Motion for Daughtry.” The motion appears to raise the same arguments Bowie made in prior petitions for post-conviction relief and writs of actual innocence and error coram nobis: (1) that at the time of the offenses for which he pleaded guilty, he was involuntarily intoxicated and suffering from schizophrenia and other mental health disorders, so his convictions should be vacated; and (2) his plea, for numerous reasons, was not entered in a voluntary, intelligent, or knowing manner. The circuit court here recognized that it had previously considered these contentions. Likewise, this Court previously affirmed the denial of those prior petitions. *Montrelle Denard Bowie v. State of Maryland*, Nos. 1942, Sept. Term, 2021 & 158, Sept. Term, 2022 (filed Aug. 24, 2022). Because Bowie does not argue any legal or evidentiary change, we see no reason to deviate from our prior decision. *See Nichols v. State*, 461 Md. 572, 578 (2018) (“[A] decision that is rendered in a prior appeal is binding in a later appeal.” (cleaned up)). We will therefore affirm the circuit court’s denial of Bowie’s motion.<sup>1 2</sup>

**JUDGMENT OF THE CIRCUIT  
COURT FOR FREDERICK  
COUNTY AFFIRMED. COSTS TO  
BE PAID BY APPELLANT.**

---

<sup>1</sup> To the extent, as the State contends, Bowie’s challenges are more properly raised in an application for leave to appeal, the Court notes that in April 2023, Bowie filed another series of motions raising substantially the same arguments. When the circuit court denied those motions, Bowie sought post-conviction relief from this Court. His application was denied while this appeal was pending. *Montrelle Denard Bowie v. State of Maryland*, Post-Conviction No. 383, Sept. Term, 2023 (filed July 31, 2023).

<sup>2</sup> There is one additional filing outstanding in this matter: Bowie’s “Motion to Add Any Materials to the Record That Were Before the Circuit Court in the Matter From Which  
(continued)

---

This Appeal Arises,” filed on August 1, 2023. The motion does not specify any material missing from the record transmitted to this Court. We will therefore deny the motion as moot.