ADMINISTRATIVE OFFICE OF THE COURTS



MARYLAND JUDICIAL CENTER 187 HARRY S. TRUMAN PARKWAY ANNAPOLIS, MARYLAND 21401

February 25, 2020

Honorable Bill Ferguson President of the Senate H-107 State House 100 State Circle Annapolis, Maryland 21401

Honorable Adrienne A. Jones Speaker of the House H-101 State House 100 State Circle Annapolis, Maryland 21401

> Re: Report on Wiretapping and Electronic Surveillance

Dear President Ferguson and Speaker Jones:

Pursuant to State Government Article § 2-1257, the Judiciary herein submits its report on wiretapping and electronic surveillance under Courts and Judicial Proceedings Article § 10-409(c).

This report covers the period of January 1, 2019 – December 31, 2019. If you have any questions, please contact Jamie Walter at (410) 260-1275, or via email at jamie.walter@mdcourts.gov.

Pamela Harris

State Court Administrator

Enc.

cc:

Honorable Mary Ellen Barbera, Chief Judge, Court of Appeals Yaakov E. "Jake" Weissmann, Chief of Staff, President of the Senate Alexandra Hughes, Chief of Staff, Speaker of the House Sarah Albert, Mandated Reports Specialist

Report on Wiretapping and Electronic Surveillance

Calendar Year 2019



Administrative Office of the Courts

February 2020

Report on Wiretapping and Electronic Surveillance

This is the 41st report submitted pursuant to § 10-409(c) of the Courts and Judicial Proceedings Article. The report covers Calendar Year 2019.

During 2019, there were 43 requests for electronic surveillance, a decrease of approximately 37.7 percent from the 69 requests reported in Calendar Year 2018. The 43 applications for surveillance were received by judges in six jurisdictions, including Calendar Year 14 in Baltimore County; 10 in Baltimore City, nine in Washington County; seven in Dorchester County, two in Allegany County, and one in Wicomico County. Several investigations involved multiple wiretaps, as indicated in the accompanying tables.

During Calendar Year 2019, nearly all reported surveillances involved investigations concerning possible violations of controlled dangerous substances laws. Specifically, narcotics was noted in 15 (35 percent) of the requests, drugs - illegal in nine (21 percent) of the requests, conspiracy to distribute controlled dangerous substances in eight (19 percent) of the requests, drug trafficking in seven (16 percent) of the requests, drug kingpin conspiracy in two (5 percent) of the requests, and controlled dangerous substance kingpin in one (2 percent) of the requests. The remaining request for electronic surveillance involved a conspiracy to kidnap offense.

Nearly 98 percent (42) of the intercepts during Calendar Year 2019involved cellular phones carried by or on the person. One request listed the location as a social media address. Nearly half of the intercepts included text messages in addition to phone calls, while 10 involved either an app or social media.

There were 105,754 total communications intercepted, including 21,328 (20 percent) of an incriminating nature. For comparison, in Calendar Year 2018, 279,601 communications were intercepted of which 47,244 (17 percent) were incriminating. The Calendar Year 2019 surveillances resulted in the interception of the communications of 617 individuals. While results from many investigations are still pending, and results of several investigations were unknown or unreported, thus far there have been a total of 99 arrests and 11 persons convicted. Overall,

¹Information about communications intercepted, results, and costs do not include one county which experienced a ransomware attack in January 2020 and was unable to access the information needed to submit its reports prior to the statutory deadline for this report. If, and when, those data become available this report will be updated.

\$1,012,061 was reportedly spent on electronic surveillance, personnel, and resource costs during Calendar Year 2019. This does not include unknown or unreported costs. Comparatively, Calendar Year\$1,922,671 was expended in Calendar Year 2018 and \$986,367 in Calendar Year 2017. In 2019, Baltimore City reportedly expended the greatest amount of money on a single investigation, \$819,900, followed by Washington County with \$173,436 expended on a single investigation. The only other investigation with costs reported was \$18,725 in Allegany County.

A detailed breakdown of each 2019 request is attached. Corresponding numbers are used to relate reports submitted by prosecuting officials to those submitted by judges.

WIRETAPPING AND ELECTRONIC SURVEILLANCE PURSUANT TO SECTION 10-409(c) OF THE COURTS ARTICLE JANUARY 1 - DECEMBER 31, 2019

REPORTS BY JUDGES

		Official Authorizing Application	Offense Specified	Type of Intercept	Location	Date of Application	Original Order (Days)	Number of Extensions	Total Length (Days)
Allegany C	ounty								
1	Circuit Court	State's Attorney	Conspiracy; Drug Kingpin CR § 5-613	Cellular Phone; Text Messaging	Portable Device - Carried by/on Individual	04/03/2019	30	0	30
2	Circuit Court	State's Attorney	Conspiracy; Drug Kingpin CR § 5-613	Cellular Phone; Text Messaging	Portable Device - Carried by/on Individual	04/16/2019	30	0	30

	REPORTS BY JUDGES											
Reporting Number	Court	Official Authorizing Application	Offense Specified	Type of Intercept	Location	Date of Application	Original Order (Days)	Number of Extensions	Total Length (Days)			
Baltimore (City											
1	Circuit Court	State's Attorney	Narcotics	Cellular Phone; Text Messaging	Portable Device - Carried by/on Individual	10/19/2018	30	5	180			
2	Circuit Court	State's Attorney	Conspiracy; Distribute CDS	Cellular Phone; Text Messaging; App	Portable Device - Carried by/on Individual	06/04/2019	30	1	60			
3	Circuit Court	State's Attorney	Conspiracy; Distribute CDS	Cellular Phone; Text Messaging; App	Portable Device - Carried by/on Individual	07/01/2020	30	0	30			
4	Circuit Court	State's Attorney	Conspiracy; Distribute CDS	Cellular Phone; Text Messaging; App	Portable Device - Carried by/on Individual	07/01/2020	30	1	60			
5	Circuit Court	State's Attorney	Conspiracy; Distribute CDS	Cellular Phone; Text Messaging; App	Portable Device - Carried by/on Individual	07/29/2019	30	2	90			
6	Circuit Court	State's Attorney	Conspiracy; Distribute CDS	Cellular Phone; Text Messaging; App	Portable Device - Carried by/on Individual	07/29/2019	30	0	30			
7	Circuit Court	State's Attorney	Conspiracy; Distribute CDS	Cellular Phone; Text Messaging; App	Portable Device - Carried by/on Individual	10/17/2019	30	0	30			
8	Circuit Court	State's Attorney	Conspiracy; Distribute CDS	Cellular Phone; Text Messaging; App	Portable Device - Carried by/on Individual	09/20/2019	30	1	60			
9	Circuit Court	State's Attorney	Conspiracy; Distribute CDS	Cellular Phone; Text Messaging; App	Portable Device - Carried by/on Individual	09/20/2019	30	0	30			
10	Circuit Court	State's Attorney	Conspiracy; Kidnap	Cellular Phone; Text Messaging; App	Portable Device - Carried by/on Individual	08/05/2019	30	1	60			

	REPORTS BY JUDGES											
Reporting Number	Court	Official Authorizing Application	Offense Specified	Type of Intercept	Location	Date of Application	Original Order (Days)	Number of Extensions	Total Length (Days)			
Baltimore (county	1		l		T .	I	T T				
1	Circuit Court	State's Attorney	Narcotics	Cellular Phone	Portable Device - Carried by/on Individual	11/04/2019	30	1	60			
2	Circuit Court	State's Attorney	Narcotics	Cellular Phone	Portable Device - Carried by/on Individual	11/04/2019	30	0	30			
3	Circuit Court	State's Attorney	Narcotics	Cellular Phone	Portable Device - Carried by/on Individual	11/04/2019	30	1	60			
4	Circuit Court	State's Attorney	Narcotics	Cellular Phone	Portable Device - Carried by/on Individual	12/02/2020	30	0	30			
5	Circuit Court	State's Attorney	Narcotics	Cellular Phone	Portable Device - Carried by/on Individual	12/02/2020	30	0	30			
6	Circuit Court	State's Attorney	Narcotics	Cellular Phone	Portable Device - Carried by/on Individual	11/04/2019	30	0	30			
7	Circuit Court	State's Attorney	Narcotics	Cellular Phone	Portable Device - Carried by/on Individual	12/02/2020	30	0	30			

	REPORTS BY JUDGES										
Reporting Number	Court	Official Authorizing Application	Offense Specified	Type of Intercept	Location	Date of Application	Original Order (Days)	Number of Extensions	Total Length (Days)		
Baltimore (County	(continued)									
8	Circuit Court	State's Attorney	Narcotics	Cellular Phone	Portable Device - Carried by/on Individual	02/28/2019	30	0	30		
9	Circuit Court	State's Attorney	Narcotics	Cellular Phone	Portable Device - Carried by/on Individual	02/28/2019	30	0	0		
10	Circuit Court	State's Attorney	Narcotics	Cellular Phone	Portable Device - Carried by/on Individual	02/28/2019	30	0	0		
11	Circuit Court	State's Attorney	Narcotics	Cellular Phone	Portable Device - Carried by/on Individual	02/28/2019	30	0	0		
12	Circuit Court	State's Attorney	Narcotics	Cellular Phone	Portable Device - Carried by/on Individual	02/28/2019	30	0	0		
13	Circuit Court	State's Attorney	Narcotics	Cellular Phone	Portable Device - Carried by/on Individual	02/28/2019	30	0	0		
14	Circuit Court	State's Attorney	Narcotics	Cellular Phone	Portable Device - Carried by/on Individual	02/28/2019	30	0	0		

	REPORTS BY JUDGES										
Reporting Number	Court	Official Authorizing Application	Offense Specified	Type of Intercept	Location	Date of Application	Original Order (Days)	Number of Extensions	Total Length (Days)		
Dorchester	r Count	У									
1	Circuit Court	State's Attorney	Drug Trafficking	Cellular Phone	Portable Device - Carried by/on Individual	12/18/2018	30	1	60		
2	Circuit Court	State's Attorney	Drug Trafficking	Social Media	No Location Specified (Social Media Addres)	12/07/2018	30	2	90		
3	Circuit Court	State's Attorney	Drug Trafficking	Cellular Phone	Portable Device - Carried by/on Individual	12/07/2018	30	2	90		
4	Circuit Court	State's Attorney	Drug Trafficking	Cellular Phone	Portable Device - Carried by/on Individual	12/21/2018	30	0	30		
5	Circuit Court	State's Attorney	Drug Trafficking	Cellular Phone	Portable Device - Carried by/on Individual	01/17/2019	30	2	60		
6	Circuit Court	State's Attorney	Drug Trafficking	Cellular Phone	Portable Device - Carried by/on Individual	02/01/2019	30	0	30		
7	Circuit Court	State's Attorney	Drug Trafficking	Cellular Phone	Portable Device - Carried by/on Individual	02/01/2019	30	0	30		

	REPORTS BY JUDGES											
				_								
Reporting Number	Court	Official Authorizing Application	Offense Specified	Type of Intercept	Location	Date of Application	Original Order (Days)	Number of Extensions	Total Length (Days)			
Washingto	n Coun	ity					•					
1	Circuit Court	State's Attorney	Drugs - Illegal	Cellular Phone; Text Messaging	Portable Device - Carried by/on Individual	06/06/2019	19	0	19			
2	Circuit Court	State's Attorney	Drugs - Illegal	Cellular Phone; Text Messaging	Portable Device - Carried by/on Individual	06/13/2019	19	0	19			
3	Circuit Court	State's Attorney	Drugs - Illegal	Cellular Phone; Text Messaging	Portable Device - Carried by/on Individual	06/20/2019	20	0	20			
4	Circuit Court	State's Attorney	Drugs - Illegal	Cellular Phone; Text Messaging	Portable Device - Carried by/on Individual	06/20/2019	11	0	11			
5	Circuit Court	State's Attorney	Drugs - Illegal	Cellular Phone; Text Messaging	Portable Device - Carried by/on Individual	06/28/2019	22	0	22			
6	Circuit Court	State's Attorney	Drugs - Illegal	Cellular Phone; Text Messaging	Portable Device - Carried by/on Individual	06/28/2019	29	1	47			
7	Circuit Court	State's Attorney	Drugs - Illegal	Cellular Phone; Text Messaging	Portable Device - Carried by/on Individual	08/09/2019	9	0	9			
8	Circuit Court	State's Attorney	Drugs - Illegal	Cellular Phone; Text Messaging	Portable Device - Carried by/on Individual	08/14/2019	30	0	30			
9	Circuit Court	State's Attorney	Drugs - Illegal	Cellular Phone; Text Messaging	Portable Device - Carried by/on Individual	08/20/2019	29	0	29			
Wieemics	Country											
Wicomico 1	County Circuit Court	State's Attorney	CDS-Kingpin; CR § 5-613	Cellular Phone	Portable Device - Carried by/on Individual	03/28/2019	30	2	90			

WIRETAPPING AND ELECTRONIC SURVEILLANCE PURSUANT TO SECTION 10-409(c) OF THE COURTS ARTICLE JANUARY 1 - DECEMBER 31, 2019 **REPORTS BY PROSECUTING OFFICERS** Number of Costs Number of Average Total Cost(\$)/ **Number of** Conversations Incrimin-**Motions to** Reporting **Number of** Persons Persons Days in Intercepts of Individuals ating **Non-Personnel** Trials **Suppress** Number Intercepts Arrested Convicted Operation Cost(\$) Intercepts Intercepted Intercepts Per Day **Allegany County** 1 30 \$18,725 \$3,500 Unknown Unknown 15 Unknown 15 0 0 6 2 30 60 Unknown Unknown 15 Unknown \$18,725 \$3,500 15 0 0 6

				REPORTS BY I	PROSECUTIN						
				Number of		Cos	sts		Nι	ımber of	
Reporting Number	Number of Days in Operation	Average Number of Intercepts Per Day	Intercepts	Conversations of Individuals Intercepted	Incrimin- ating Intercepts	Total Co Non-Per Cost	sonnel	Persons Arrested	Trials	Motions to Suppress Intercepts	Persons Convicted
Baltimore (City										•
1	180	212.1	38,185	Unknown	Unknown	\$819,900	Not reported	2	0	0	0
2	39	158.2	6,171		468						
3	12	4.6	55		7						
4	58	Unknown	Unknown		790						
5	86	103.0	8,862		1,786	Unknown	Unknown				
6	30	0.6	18	Unknown	0	OHKHOWH	OTIKITOWIT	15	0	0	0
7	30	1.6	47		1						
8	60	17.2	1,030		205						
9	30	8.6	259		63						
10	56	160.5	8,988		1,317	Not reported	Not reported				
	581	109.5	63,615	Unknown	4,637	\$819,900	Unknown	17	0	0	0

	REPORTS BY PROSECUTING OFFICERS											
				Number of		Cos	sts		Nι	ımber of		
Reporting Number	Number of Days in Operation	Average Number of Intercepts Per Day	Intercepts	Conversations of Individuals Intercepted	Incrimin- ating Intercepts	Non-Per	Total Cost(\$)/ Non-Personnel Cost(\$)		Trials	Motions to Suppress Intercepts	Persons Convicted	
Baltimore (County											
1	41	25.3	1,037	67	681							
2	28	26.0	727	72	173							
3	37	72.3	2,674	124	2,450							
4	9	3.0	27	14	1	Unknown	Unknown	14	0	14	0	
5	9	25.6	230	20	195							
6	Never Installed	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A							
7	Never Installed	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A			_				

	REPORTS BY PROSECUTING OFFICERS										
				Number of		Cos	ts		Nι	ımber of	
Reporting Number	Number of Days in Operation	Average Number of Intercepts Per Day	Intercepts	Conversations of Individuals Intercepted	Incrimin- ating Intercepts	Total Co Non-Per Cost	sonnel	Persons Arrested	Trials	Motions to Suppress Intercepts	Persons Convicted
Baltimore (County (conti	nued)									
8											
9											
10											
11	30	222.5	6,674	260	662	Unknown	Unknown	14	0	14	0
12											
13											
14											
	154	73.8	11,369	557	4,162	Unknown	Unknown	28	0	28	0

			REPORTS BY I	PROSECUTIN	IG OFFICERS				
			Number of		Costs		Nι	ımber of	
Reporting Number	Number of Days in Operation	Average Number of Intercepts Per Day	Conversations of Individuals Intercepted	Incrimin- ating Intercepts	Total Cost(\$)/ Non-Personnel Cost(\$)	Persons Arrested	Trials	Motions to Suppress Intercepts	Persons Convicted
Dorchester	County								
1									
2									
3									
4			Data not a	vailable due to	o ransomware attack.				
5									
6									
7									

Reporting D	Jumber of Days in Operation County 19 19 20 11	Average Number of Intercepts Per Day 67.3 359.6 479.4 51.8	1,279 6,833 9,588 570	Number of Conversations of Individuals Intercepted Unknown Unknown Unknown	Incriminating Intercepts 273 1,136 3,083	Total Co Non-Pers Cost	ost(\$)/ sonnel	Persons Arrested	Trials	Motions to Suppress Intercepts	Persons Convicted
Number Con 1 2 3 4	Days in Operation County 19 19 20	Number of Intercepts Per Day 67.3 359.6 479.4	1,279 6,833 9,588	of Individuals Intercepted Unknown Unknown	ating Intercepts 273 1,136	Non-Pers	sonnel		Trials	Suppress	
1 2 3 4	19 19 20	359.6 479.4	6,833 9,588	Unknown	1,136						
3 4	19 20	359.6 479.4	6,833 9,588	Unknown	1,136						
3 4	20	479.4	9,588								
4			·	Unknown	3.083						
	11	51.8	570		3,300						
5	i		1	Unknown	88						
	22	37.8	832	Unknown	40	\$173,436	\$14,861	19	0	18	1
6	47	122.2	5,744	Unknown	2,419						
7	9	16.3	147	Unknown	28						
8	30	171.0	5,130	Unknown	3,698						
9	29	22.0	638	Unknown	115						
	206	149.3	30,761	Unknown	10,880	\$173,436	\$14,861	19	0	18	1
Wicomico Cou	unty										
1	90	0.1	9	45	1,649	Unknown	Unknown	20	4	0	4
	90	0.1	9	45	1,649	Unknown	Unknown	20	4	0	4
Total	T		105,754	617	21,328	\$1,012,061	\$18,361	99	4	46	11

Offense	Number	%
Narcotics	15	35%
Drugs - Illegal	9	21%
Conspiracy - Distribute CDS	8	19%
Drug Trafficking	7	16%
Conspiracy - Drug Kingpin	2	5%
CDS Kingpin	1	2%
Conspiracy - Kidnap	1	2%
Total	43	100%

(Offenses listed in table as they appear in reports.)

Location	Number	%
Cellular Phone	42	98%
Social Media Address	1	2%
Total	43	100%

(Some applications listed more than 1 type of location.)

Number of Arrests	99
Number of Persons Convicted	11

Intercept Information	Number	
Intercepts	105,754	
Incriminating Intercepts	21,328	
Communications of Individuals Intercepted	617	

Type of Intercept	Number	%
Cellular Phone	42	98%
Text Messages	21	49%
App or Social Media	10	23%
Total	43	100%

(Many applications listed more than 1 type of intercept.)