

Fiscal Year 2025
Statewide Caseflow Assessment
Circuit Courts



Administrative Office of the Courts
November 2025

Table of Contents

Main Analysis	1
Within-Standard Percentages	2
Average Case Processing Times	3
Median Case Processing Times.....	4
Distribution of Over-Standard Cases	4
Postponements.....	5
Suspensions	6
Appendix A: Circuit Courts Within-Standard Percentages and Overall and Over-Standard Average and Median Case Processing Times, by Case Type and Jurisdiction	1
Appendix B: Circuit Courts Statewide Distribution of Over-Standard Cases.....	1
Appendix C: Circuit Courts Percentages of Cases Terminated Within Standard, by Jurisdiction Fiscal Years 2019 through 2025*	1

Main Analysis

Case time standards are central to the Maryland Judiciary's mission to provide fair, efficient, and effective justice for all. This report presents the analysis of case processing performance in Maryland's circuit courts for Fiscal Year 2025 and is based on samples of original terminations from circuit court jurisdictions for the following case types: Criminal, Civil General, Foreclosure, Family Law, Juvenile Delinquency, Child in Need of Assistance (CINA) Shelter, CINA Non-Shelter, and Termination of Parental Rights (TPR). A suspension for good cause findings in CINA Shelter cases was also analyzed. Samples of up to 500 original terminations were used for each case type, yielding a grand total of 36,736 cases for analysis.^{1,2}

Weighted figures are computed for instances in which data are displayed in the aggregate (i.e., statewide percentages of cases closed within standard, average, and median case times by jurisdiction size), to reflect each jurisdiction's contribution to overall terminations, by case type.

¹ Cases without case start dates, invalid terminations, and those with negative case processing times (i.e., case stop dates occurring before start dates) were excluded from the current analysis.

² A second round of assessment application data review took place with Baltimore City Circuit Court to correct conversion and data entry errors on Juvenile Delinquency cases.

Within-Standard Percentages

As shown in [Table 1](#), the percentage of cases disposed within standard rose across six categories, with the largest percent change in the CINA Shelter case category, followed by TPR and Civil General cases. Disposed within standard rates held steady for Foreclosure and Juvenile Delinquency case types. No case type met the Judiciary goal for percent of cases completed within standard.

The statewide and jurisdictional within-standard percentages and overall and over-standard average and median case processing times, by case type, are displayed in [Appendix A](#). For a comparison across years (Fiscal Years 2019 and 2022 to 2025) of percentages of cases terminated within standard by case type for each jurisdiction, see [Appendix C](#).

Table 1. Valid Terminations and Percentage of Cases Terminated Within-Standard (Weighted) by Case Type, Circuit Court, Fiscal Year 2024 and Fiscal Year 2025

Case Type	Judiciary Goals		Fiscal Year 2025 Valid Terminations	Within-Standard Terminations			Fiscal Year 24-25 Percent Change ³
	Time Standard	Percent Within Standard		Fiscal Year 2025		Fiscal Year 2024 % ^a	
				N	%* (weighted)		
Criminal	180 days	98%	9,612	8,214	84%	82%	3%
Civil General ^b	548 days	98%	7,598	7,051	93%	89%	4%
Foreclosure ^c	730 days	98%	4,679	4,316	91%	91%	0%
Family Law	365 days	98%	10,293	9,448	90%	88%	3%
Juvenile Delinquency	90 days	98%	2,978	2,540	86%	86%	0%
CINA Shelter	30 days	100%	1,192	764	64%	55%	16%
CINA Non-Shelter	60 days	100%	164	130	81%	81%	1%
TPR	180 days	100%	220	118	53%	47%	12%

^a Percentages of cases closed within the time standards are weighted averages of jurisdiction-specific statistics.

³ This is a relative percent-change calculation, i.e., (FY25 – FY24) / FY24

An examination of case processing performance by jurisdiction size illustrates the impact of high case volume. (See [Table 2](#)).

A comparison of [Table 2](#) and Table A-2 in [Appendix A](#) illustrates the impact that the performance of large jurisdictions has on the statewide within-standard percentages, due to the higher volume of cases terminated in larger jurisdictions.

Table 2. Percentage of Cases Closed Within Time Standard (Weighted) as a Function of Jurisdiction Size and Case Type, Circuit Courts, Fiscal Year 2025

Case Type	Time Standard	Judiciary Goals	Statewide Within-Standard Percentage*	Jurisdiction Size ^a			
				Small	Medium	Medium-Large	Large
Criminal	180 days	98%	84%	90%	87%	82%	84%
Civil General	548 days	98%	93%	90%	95%	93%	92%
Foreclosure	730 days	98%	91%	93%	95%	95%	89%
Family Law	365 days	98%	90%	92%	94%	93%	88%
Juvenile Delinquency	90 days	98%	86%	91%	85%	85%	86%
CINA Shelter	30 days	100%	64%	58%	62%	85%	60%
CINA Non-Shelter	60 days	100%	81%	88%	95%	72%	84%
TPR	180 days	100%	53%	50%	52%	78%	43%

^a Percentages of cases closed within the time standards are weighted averages of jurisdiction-specific statistics.

Average Case Processing Times

Statewide overall, within-standard, and over-standard average case processing times in the circuit courts for Fiscal Year 2025 are provided in [Table 3](#). The overall average case processing times were not within standard for CINA Shelter and TPR case types included in the caseflow assessment in Fiscal Year 2025.

Average case processing times increased by 30 days for the CINA Shelter case type from Fiscal Year 2024 to Fiscal Year 2025 and decreased by 12 days in CINA Non-Shelter cases. All remaining case types saw overall average case processing times decrease year over year, with decreases ranging from 4 days in Family Law cases to 41 days in Juvenile Delinquency cases.

Table 3. Average Overall, Within- and Over-Standard Case Processing Time (Weighted) by Case Type, Circuit Courts, Fiscal Year 2025

Case Type	Time Standard	Fiscal Year 2025 Average Case Time (in days)*			Fiscal Year 2024 Overall Average Case Time
		Overall Average	Within-Standard	Over-Standard	
Criminal	180 days	127	88	330	142
Civil General	548 days	250	201	839	289
Foreclosure	730 days	343	254	1,164	354
Family Law	365 days	189	137	654	193
Juvenile Delinquency	90 days	61	42	170	102
CINA Shelter	30 days	96	23	178	66
CINA Non-Shelter	60 days	52	38	108	64
TPR	180 days	216	130	296	231

*Average case times (in days) are weighted averages of jurisdiction-specific statistics.

Median Case Processing Times

[Table 4](#) provides the statewide overall, within-standard and over-standard median case processing times (the middle value in the distribution of case processing times from shortest to greatest case time) in the circuit courts for Fiscal Year 2025. Median case times are useful to examine as they are less affected by cases with extreme case lengths, or outliers, than is the average.

Overall median case processing times in Fiscal Year 2025 remained within standard for all case types except TPR. Highlighting the impact of extreme values on averages, the overall median was lower than the overall average for all case types in Fiscal Year 2025, with differences ranging from 6 days (CINA Non-Shelter) to 94 days (Foreclosure). Having greater averages than medians indicates that cases with extremely long case times had a larger effect on the average than did potentially extremely short case times. Therefore, overall median case processing time is likely a better representation of the typical performance than is the overall average.

Table 1. Median Overall, Within- and Over-Standard Case Processing Time (Weighted*) by Case Type, Circuit Courts, Fiscal Year 2025

Case Type	Time Standard	Fiscal Year 2025 Median Case Time (in days)*			Fiscal Year 2024 Overall Median Case Time
		Overall Median	Within-Standard	Over- Standard	
Criminal	180 days	97	78	271	101
Civil General	548 days	199	179	745	237
Foreclosure	730 days	249	213	970	276
Family Law	365 days	132	113	536	140
Juvenile Delinquency	90 days	49	42	138	46
CINA Shelter	30 days	29	24	75	45
CINA Non-Shelter	60 days	46	42	105	51
TPR	180 days	201	138	276	204

*Median case times (in days) are weighted averages of jurisdiction-specific statistics

Distribution of Over-Standard Cases

To better understand case processing performance, it is useful to examine how over-standard cases are dispersed over time. [Table 5](#) provides data on the statewide distribution of cases closed past the case time standard goals, by case type. Appendix B depicts the distribution of cases closed over standard in Fiscal Year 2025, by case type.

Over-standard Juvenile Delinquency and CINA Shelter cases had 10% and 24%, respectively, disposed within one week of the time standard. CINA Shelter (50%), Juvenile Delinquency (37%) and CINA Non-Shelter (32%) cases had relatively large proportions disposed within one month of the time standard in Fiscal Year 2025. The times to close 50% of over-standard CINA Shelter, CINA Non-Shelter, and Juvenile Delinquency cases were approximately 1, 1.4, and 1.6 months, respectively.

By contrast, the two case types with the longest time standards had the smallest percentage of cases close just beyond the time standard. Over-standard Foreclosure cases had 3% (11 cases) close within one week and 10% (37 cases) within one month. Similarly, Civil General cases had 3% (14 cases) close within one week and 12% (67 cases) close within one month. These case types also had longer times to close 50% of over-standard cases (Civil General at 6.1 months and Foreclosure at 7.7 months) than all other case types.

Table 2. Percentage of Over-Standard Cases Closed Shortly Beyond the Time Standard and Time Required to Close 50% of Over-Standard Cases by Case Type, Circuit Courts, Fiscal Year 2025

Case Type	Time Standard	Number of Over-Standard Cases	% of Over-Standard Cases Closing Over Standard*				Time to Close 50% of Over-Standard Cases
			Within 1 week		Within 1 month		
Criminal	180 days	1,398	5%	75 cases	21%	288 cases	3.1 months
Civil General	548 days	547	3%	14 cases	12%	67 cases	6.1 months
Foreclosure	730 days	363	3%	11 cases	10%	37 cases	7.7 months
Family Law	365 days	845	5%	40 cases	16%	139 cases	3.9 months
Juvenile Delinquency	90 days	438	10%	42 cases	37%	164 cases	1.6 months
CINA Shelter	30 days	428	24%	102 cases	50%	212 cases	1 months
CINA Non-Shelter	60 days	34	9%	3 cases	32%	11 cases	1.4 months
TPR	180 days	102	5%	5 cases	18%	18 cases	2.8 months

*The aggregate percentage of cases closing (just) over their respective time standards are **not** weighted; therefore, may not generalize to the statewide level.

Postponements

As part of the caseflow assessment process, the Judiciary tracks the number and proportion of cases containing one or more postponements. For this analysis, a “case with valid postponement information” is defined as a case with either valid information in the “number of postponements” data field or postponement reasons provided, except when both the number and reason fields indicated no postponement.⁴

As seen in [Table 6](#), the highest postponement rate in the Fiscal Year 2025 assessment was observed in Juvenile Delinquency cases (42%) followed by Criminal (41%) and TPR (37%). The lowest postponement rates in Fiscal Year 2025 were in the Foreclosure (4%), Family Law (11%), and Civil General (14%) case types. CINA Shelter showed the largest change in terms of percentage point difference from Fiscal Year 2024 to Fiscal Year 2025, decreasing from 26% to 21%.

⁴ By contrast, cases with mismatched postponement information are those where (1) a postponement is identified but no reason is provided, (2) the number of postponements and the number of postponement reasons do not match, or (3) no postponement is identified based on the number of postponements, but postponement reasons are provided. Only cases with matching postponement are listed.

Table 3. Number and Percentage of Cases with Postponement Information by the Match Between the Number of Postponements and Postponement Reasons, by Case Type, Circuit Courts, Fiscal Year 2025

Case Type	Fiscal Year 2025 Valid Terminations	Cases with Valid Postponement Information*			Matching Postponement Information**	
		N	%	FY 2024 %	N	%
Criminal	9,612	3,917	41%	40%	3,602	92%
Civil General	7,598	1,052	14%	16%	972	92%
Foreclosure	4,679	187	4%	5%	165	88%
Family Law	10,293	1,149	11%	10%	1,105	96%
Juvenile Delinquency	2,978	1,262	42%	41%	1,134	90%
CINA Shelter	1,192	245	21%	26%	182	74%
CINA Non-Shelter	164	40	24%	26%	31	78%
TPR	220	82	37%	38%	35	43%

*Excludes cases with no postponements and no postponement reasons listed

**Total number of cases in which the number of postponement reasons provided matches the postponement count

Suspensions

The Maryland Judiciary's case time standards provide for the suspension of case time if certain events occur that remove the court's ability to advance the case. The Assessment Application extracts suspension start and suspension stop dates from the Maryland Electronic Courts (MDEC) system. Court staff review and, if necessary, correct suspension information contained in assessment data. See [Table 7](#) for the number and rate of suspension events in the circuit courts, and the degree to which they contain valid data (i.e., no missing suspension start or stop dates and a nonnegative value for the time from suspension start to suspension stop).

No CINA Non-Shelter or TPR cases contained a suspension event in Fiscal Year 2025. Juvenile Delinquency (27%) had the largest percentage of cases with suspensions followed by Criminal (22%) and CINA Shelter (21%).

Table 4. Suspensions with Valid and Invalid Data as a Function of Case Type, Circuit Courts, Fiscal Year 2025

Case Type	Fiscal Year 2024 Valid Terminations	Cases with One or More Suspensions (N, %)*	Overall Suspensions		
			Total Suspensions	With Valid Data (N, %)**	Without Valid Data (N, %)**
Criminal	9,612	2,149 (22%)	2,631	2,622 (100%)	9 (0%)
Civil General	7,598	150 (2%)	156	126 (81%)	30 (19%)
Foreclosure	4,679	852 (18%)	1,119	1,057 (94%)	62 (6%)
Family Law	10,293	1,197 (12%)	1,289	959 (74%)	330 (26%)
Juvenile Delinquency	2,978	795 (27%)	972	963 (99%)	9 (1%)
CINA Shelter	1,192	256 (21%)	257	257 (100%)	0 (0%)
CINA Non-Shelter	164	0 (0%)	-	-	-
TPR	220	0 (0%)	-	-	-
Total	36,736	5,399 (15%)	6,424	5,984 (93%)	440 (7%)

*Percent of valid terminations

**Suspensions with no missing start or stop dates and with a positive number for the time from suspension start to suspension stop. Percent of total suspensions.

***Suspensions missing either a suspension start or stop date, or the time from suspension start to suspension stop was a negative number. Percent of total suspensions.

Detail on the nature of suspensions with "invalid" data (i.e., missing a suspension start or stop date or with negative suspension time recorded) by case type in Fiscal Year 2025 is provided in [Table 8](#). [Tables 9](#) through 16 present the statewide number of valid and invalid suspensions, by

suspension event, for each of the circuit court case types in Fiscal Year 2025. Note that Fiscal Year 2025 included a new suspension type for good cause extensions in CINA Shelter cases.

Table 5. Invalid Suspension Data as a Function of Case Type, Circuit Courts, Fiscal Year 2025

Case Type	Without Valid Data (N, %)*	Suspensions with Invalid Data by Error Type		
		Missing Stop Date (N, %)**	Missing Start Date (N, %)**	Negative Susp. Time (N, %)**
Criminal	9 (0%)	6 (67%)	3 (33%)	0 (0%)
Civil General	30 (19%)	30 (100%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)
Foreclosure	62 (6%)	62 (100%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)
Family Law	330 (26%)	1 (0%)	1 (0%)	328 (99%)
Juvenile Delinquency	9 (1%)	7 (78%)	1 (11%)	1 (11%)
CINA Shelter	0 (0%)	-	-	-
CINA Non-Shelter	-	-	-	-
TPR	-	-	-	-
Total	440 (7%)	106 (24%)	5 (1%)	329 (75%)

*Percent of total suspensions.

**Percent of invalid suspensions.

Table 6. Suspension Data for Criminal Cases, Circuit Courts, Fiscal Year 2025

Suspension Event	Total Susp. N	Valid Suspensions N (%)*	Invalid Susp. N (%)*	Invalid Suspensions		
				Missing Stop N (%)**	Missing Start N (%)**	Negative Susp. Time N (%)**
FTA 1	1,744	1,743 (100%)	1 (0%)	1 (100%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)
FTA 2	211	211 (100%)	0 (0%)	-	-	-
FTA 3	24	24 (100%)	0 (0%)	-	-	-
Mistrial	27	27 (100%)	0 (0%)	-	-	-
NCR Evaluation	28	28 (100%)	0 (0%)	-	-	-
Reverse Waiver Petition	209	206 (99%)	3 (1%)	1 (33%)	2 (67%)	0 (0%)
Competency Evaluation***	226	224 (99%)	2 (1%)	2 (100%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)
Interlocutory Appeal	6	5 (83%)	1 (17%)	1 (100%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)
Military Leave	1	1 (100%)	0 (0%)	-	-	-
Problem-Solving Court Diversion	59	58 (98%)	1 (2%)	1 (100%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)
DNA/Forensic Evidence	23	23 (100%)	0 (0%)	-	-	-
Psychological Evaluation	73	72 (99%)	1 (1%)	0 (0%)	1 (100%)	0 (0%)
Total	2,631	2,622 (100%)	9 (0%)	6 (67%)	3 (33%)	0 (0%)

* Percent of total suspensions.

** Percent of invalid suspensions, by suspension event.

***Includes both the original and additional competency evaluation suspension date fields.

Table 7. Suspension Data for Civil General Cases, Circuit Courts, Fiscal Year 2025

Suspension Event	Total Susp. N	Valid Suspensions N, (%)*	Invalid Susp. N (%)*	Invalid Suspensions		
				Missing Stop N, (%)**	Missing Start N, (%)**	Negative Susp. Time N, (%)**
Bankruptcy***	105	75 (71%)	30 (29%)	30 (100%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)
Non-Binding Arbitration	4	4 (100%)	0 (0%)	-	-	-
Interlocutory Appeal	4	4 (100%)	0 (0%)	-	-	-
Military Leave	0	-	-	-	-	-
FTA 1	1	1 (100%)	0 (0%)	-	-	-
FTA 2	0	-	-	-	-	-
FTA 3	0	-	-	-	-	-
Mistrial	3	3 (100%)	0 (0%)	-	-	-
Receivership	0	-	-	-	-	-
Foreclosure Mediation	39	39 (100%)	0 (0%)	-	-	-
Total	156	126 (81%)	30 (19%)	30 (100%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)

*Percent of total suspensions, by suspension event.

**Percent of invalid suspensions, by suspension event.

***Includes both the original and additional bankruptcy suspension date fields.

Table 8. Suspension Data for Foreclosure Cases, Circuit Courts, Fiscal Year 2025

Suspension Event	Total Susp. N	Valid Suspensions N, (%)*	Invalid Susp. N (%)*	Invalid Suspensions		
				Missing Stop N, (%)**	Missing Start N, (%)**	Negative Susp. Time N, (%)**
Bankruptcy***	656	598 (91%)	58 (9%)	58 (100%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)
Foreclosure Mediation	457	454 (99%)	3 (1%)	3 (100%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)
Non-Binding Arbitration	0	-	-	-	-	-
Interlocutory Appeal	5	4 (80%)	1 (20%)	1 (100%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)
Military Leave	0	-	-	-	-	-
FTA 1	1	1 (100%)	0 (0%)	-	-	-
FTA 2	0	-	-	-	-	-
FTA 3	0	-	-	-	-	-
Mistrial	0	-	-	-	-	-
Receivership	0	-	-	-	-	-
Total	1,119	1,057 (94%)	62 (6%)	62 (100%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)

*Percent of total suspensions, by suspension event.

**Percent of invalid suspensions, by suspension event.

***Includes both the original and additional bankruptcy suspension date fields.

Table 9. Suspension Data for Family Law Cases, Circuit Courts, Fiscal Year 2025

Suspension Event	Total Susp. N	Valid Suspensions N, (%)*	Invalid Susp. N, (%)*	Invalid Suspensions		
				Missing Stop N, (%)**	Missing Start N, (%)**	Negative Susp. Time N, (%)**
Bankruptcy***	3	2 (67%)	1 (33%)	0 (0%)	1 (100%)	0 (0%)
Interlocutory Appeal	1	1 (100%)	0 (0%)	-	-	-
Military Leave	0	-	-	-	-	-
FTA 1	99	98 (99%)	1 (1%)	1 (100%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)
FTA 2	22	22 (100%)	0 (0%)	-	-	-
FTA 3	4	4 (100%)	0 (0%)	-	-	-
No Service in Child Support after 90 days	1,159	831 (72%)	328 (28%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	328 (100%)
Collaborative Law	1	1 (100%)	0 (0%)	-	-	-
Receivership	0	-	-	-	-	-
Total	1,289	959 (74%)	330 (26%)	1 (0%)	1 (0%)	328 (99%)

* Percent of total suspensions.

** Percent of invalid suspensions, by suspension event.

Table 10. Suspension Data for Juvenile Delinquency Cases, Circuit Courts, Fiscal Year 2025

Suspension Event	Total Susp. N	Valid Suspensions N, (%)*	Invalid Susp. N, (%)*	Invalid Suspensions		
				Missing Stop N, (%)**	Missing Start N, (%)**	Negative Susp. Time N, (%)**
FTA 1	230	230 (100%)	0 (0%)	-	-	-
FTA 2	28	28 (100%)	0 (0%)	-	-	-
FTA 3	9	9 (100%)	0 (0%)	-	-	-
Military Leave	0	-	-	-	-	-
Competency Evaluation***	113	113 (100%)	0 (0%)	-	-	-
Mistrial	0	-	-	-	-	-
Waiver to Adult Court	53	53 (100%)	0 (0%)	-	-	-
Interlocutory Appeal	0	-	-	-	-	-
Pre-Disposition Treatment Program	4	4 (100%)	0 (0%)	-	-	-
PDI Order	472	464 (98%)	8 (2%)	7 (88%)	1 (13%)	0 (0%)
Psychological Evaluation	51	50 (98%)	1 (2%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	1 (100%)
DNA/Forensic Evidence	0	-	-	-	-	-
Referral for Informal Adjustment	12	12 (100%)	0 (0%)	-	-	-
Total	972	963 (99%)	9 (1%)	7 (78%)	1 (11%)	1 (11%)

* Percent of total suspensions.

** Percent of invalid suspensions, by suspension event.

Table 11. Suspension Data for CINA Shelter Cases, Circuit Courts, Fiscal Year 2025

Suspension Event	Total Susp. N	Valid Suspensions N, (%)*	Invalid Susp. N, (%)*	Invalid Suspensions		
				Missing Stop N, (%)**	Missing Start N, (%)**	Negative Susp. Time N, (%)**
Military Leave	0	-	-	-	-	-
FTA 1	1	1 (100%)	0 (0%)	-	-	-
FTA 2	1	1 (100%)	0 (0%)	-	-	-
FTA 3	0	-	-	-	-	-
Safety of the Child (Rule 3-815(c)(4))	0	-	-	-	-	-
Good Cause Finding	255	255 (100%)	0 (0%)	-	-	-
Total	257	257 (100%)	0 (0%)	-	-	-

* Percent of total suspensions.

** Percent of invalid suspensions, by suspension event.

Table 12. Suspension Data for CINA Non-Shelter Cases, Circuit Courts, Fiscal Year 2025

Suspension Event	Total Susp. N	Valid Suspensions N, (%)*	Invalid Susp. N, (%)*	Invalid Suspensions		
				Missing Stop N, (%)**	Missing Start N, (%)**	Negative Susp. Time N, (%)**
Military Leave	0	-	-	-	-	-
FTA 1	0	-	-	-	-	-
FTA 2	0	-	-	-	-	-
FTA 3	0	-	-	-	-	-
Total	0	-	-	-	-	-

* Percent of total suspensions.

** Percent of invalid suspensions, by suspension event.

Table 13. Suspension Data for TPR Cases, Circuit Courts, Fiscal Year 2025

Suspension Event	Total Susp. N	Valid Suspensions N, (%)*	Invalid Susp. N, (%)*	Invalid Suspensions		
				Missing Stop N, (%)**	Missing Start N, (%)**	Negative Susp. Time N, (%)**
Interlocutory Appeal	0	-	-	-	-	-
Military Leave	0	-	-	-	-	-
Total	0	-	-	-	-	-

* Percent of total suspensions.

** Percent of invalid suspensions, by suspension event.

Appendix A: Circuit Courts Within-Standard Percentages and Overall and Over-Standard Average and Median Case Processing Times, by Case Type and Jurisdiction

Table A-1. Percentage of Cases Terminated Within Standard by Case Type and Jurisdiction, Circuit Courts, Fiscal Year 2025

Jurisdiction	Jurisdiction Size	Criminal	Civil General	Foreclosure	Family Law	Juvenile Delinquency	CINA Shelter	CINA Non-Shelter	TPR
Allegany	Medium	86%	96%	96%	94%	100%	83%	100%	100%
Anne Arundel	Large	94%	96%	99%	93%	99%	91%	100%	100%
Baltimore City	Large	82%	93%	85%	80%	51%	47%	75%	20%
Baltimore County	Large	84%	86%	81%	84%	95%	54%	60%	34%
Calvert	Medium	89%	91%	92%	90%	73%	90%	-	-
Caroline	Small	89%	91%	91%	94%	81%	23%	100%	-
Carroll	Medium-Large	82%	93%	97%	93%	100%	93%	100%	100%
Cecil	Medium	92%	92%	95%	90%	69%	33%	-	31%
Charles	Medium-Large	87%	95%	93%	95%	91%	67%	75%	0%
Dorchester	Small	92%	97%	95%	96%	98%	54%	-	14%
Frederick	Medium-Large	88%	92%	94%	89%	87%	90%	67%	86%
Garrett	Small	92%	76%	74%	79%	86%	60%	67%	100%
Harford	Medium-Large	68%	89%	94%	85%	72%	79%	53%	52%
Howard	Medium-Large	90%	98%	94%	100%	93%	85%	67%	100%
Kent	Small	95%	94%	92%	95%	84%	50%	100%	-
Montgomery	Large	72%	95%	92%	88%	87%	82%	71%	91%
Prince George's	Large	85%	93%	95%	93%	92%	100%	100%	38%
Queen Anne's	Small	99%	98%	94%	98%	100%	100%	-	-
Somerset	Small	75%	99%	96%	99%	89%	75%	100%	25%
St. Mary's	Medium	77%	87%	98%	88%	87%	83%	-	-
Talbot	Small	86%	87%	88%	93%	92%	50%	-	100%
Washington	Medium-Large	83%	90%	99%	98%	81%	87%	100%	100%
Wicomico	Medium	87%	98%	98%	96%	100%	18%	100%	-
Worcester	Medium	92%	96%	95%	97%	77%	100%	83%	100%
Statewide*		84%	93%	91%	90%	86%	64%	81%	53%

Source: Maryland Judiciary Assessment Application (October 2025)

"-" denotes jurisdictions with no cases of a certain type terminated in Fiscal Year 2025 or none picked up by the Assessment Application.

*Statewide average is weighted based on the number of terminations reported to the State for each jurisdiction.

Table A-2. Percentage of Cases Terminated Within Standard by Case Type and Jurisdiction Size, Circuit Courts, Fiscal Year 2025

Jurisdiction	Criminal	Civil General	Foreclosure	Family Law	Juvenile Delinquency	CINA Shelter	CINA Non-Shelter	TPR
Small								
Caroline	89%	91%	91%	94%	81%	23%	100%	-
Dorchester	92%	97%	95%	96%	98%	54%	-	14%
Garrett	92%	76%	74%	79%	86%	60%	67%	100%
Kent	95%	94%	92%	95%	84%	50%	100%	-
Queen Anne's	99%	98%	94%	98%	100%	100%	-	-
Somerset	75%	99%	96%	99%	89%	75%	100%	25%
Talbot	86%	87%	88%	93%	92%	50%	-	100%
Small Overall*	90%	90%	93%	92%	91%	58%	88%	50%
Medium								
Allegany	86%	96%	96%	94%	100%	83%	100%	100%
Calvert	89%	91%	92%	90%	73%	90%	-	-
Cecil	92%	92%	95%	90%	69%	33%	-	31%
St. Mary's	77%	87%	98%	88%	87%	83%	-	-
Wicomico	87%	98%	98%	96%	100%	18%	100%	-
Worcester	92%	96%	95%	97%	77%	100%	83%	100%
Medium Overall*	87%	95%	95%	94%	85%	62%	95%	52%
Medium-Large								
Carroll	82%	93%	97%	93%	100%	93%	100%	100%
Charles	87%	95%	93%	95%	91%	67%	75%	0%
Frederick	88%	92%	94%	89%	87%	90%	67%	86%
Harford	68%	89%	94%	85%	72%	79%	53%	52%
Howard	90%	98%	94%	100%	93%	85%	67%	100%
Washington	83%	90%	99%	98%	81%	87%	100%	100%
Medium-Large Overall*	82%	93%	95%	93%	85%	85%	72%	78%
Large								
Anne Arundel	94%	96%	99%	93%	99%	91%	100%	100%
Baltimore City	82%	93%	85%	80%	51%	47%	75%	20%
Baltimore County	84%	86%	81%	84%	95%	54%	60%	34%
Montgomery	72%	95%	92%	88%	87%	82%	71%	91%
Prince George's	85%	93%	95%	93%	92%	100%	100%	38%
Large Overall*	84%	92%	89%	88%	86%	60%	84%	43%

Source: Maryland Judiciary Assessment Application (October 2025)

"-" denotes jurisdictions with no cases of a certain type terminated in Fiscal Year 2025 or none picked up by the Assessment Application.

* Jurisdiction size-specific averages are weighted based on the number of terminations reported to the State for each jurisdiction.

Table A-3. Overall (Total) and Over-Standard (OST) Average Case Processing Time in Days by Case Type and Jurisdiction, Circuit Courts, Fiscal Year 2025

Jurisdiction	Criminal		Civil General		Foreclosure		Family Law		Juvenile Delinquency		CINA Shelter		CINA Non-Shelter		TPR	
	Total	OST	Total	OST	Total	OST	Total	OST	Total	OST	Total	OST	Total	OST	Total	OST
Allegany	125	273	196	957	305	868	139	474	38	-	22	44	41	-	116	-
Anne Arundel	90	262	225	657	234	847	160	486	44	125	30	63	43	-	132	-
Baltimore City	114	330	246	792	446	1278	252	703	134	233	196	348	50	86	304	347
Baltimore County	121	357	278	962	458	1271	234	708	43	219	59	103	78	119	197	244
Calvert	123	293	276	740	356	1349	168	539	79	226	15	31	-	-	-	-
Caroline	122	276	235	698	300	1132	158	522	48	107	62	73	47	-	-	-
Carroll	117	302	224	741	228	1145	144	501	49	-	25	49	13	-	148	-
Cecil	105	357	243	683	276	927	166	486	86	158	44	55	-	-	319	390
Charles	131	324	253	819	306	1024	156	497	48	109	32	46	50	66	268	268
Dorchester	120	301	224	604	324	934	152	434	41	99	35	46	-	-	286	308
Frederick	132	315	244	825	274	1307	172	594	56	166	25	64	65	108	144	210
Garrett	95	266	361	855	547	1389	212	558	48	104	38	55	44	77	135	-
Harford	175	293	301	885	278	1342	196	578	77	153	31	63	74	125	184	247
Howard	104	285	224	927	307	1285	124	504	57	132	25	37	77	133	128	-
Kent	115	210	194	766	377	2084	148	441	46	106	62	108	37	-	-	-
Montgomery	194	410	226	835	304	1301	186	541	63	131	28	52	64	130	135	229
Prince George's	142	389	269	863	281	982	207	993	60	159	25	-	37	-	334	446
Queen Anne's	77	240	163	566	225	918	133	400	35	-	7	-	-	-	-	-
Somerset	172	326	152	647	320	1002	103	427	38	101	45	98	33	-	256	283
St. Mary's	176	383	336	907	271	1524	183	554	50	121	19	35	-	-	-	-
Talbot	138	319	275	828	372	1101	153	471	61	106	40	75	-	-	133	-
Washington	136	322	262	744	252	1276	135	485	58	144	22	37	29	-	123	-
Wicomico	131	308	219	1254	287	1211	144	471	24	-	49	56	33	-	-	-
Worcester	114	249	227	726	248	1182	130	489	62	130	18	-	32	82	160	-
Statewide*	127	330	250	839	343	1164	189	654	61	170	96	178	52	108	216	296

Source: Maryland Judiciary Assessment Application (October 2025)

“-” denotes jurisdictions with no cases of a certain type terminated in Fiscal Year 2025 or none picked up by the Assessment Application.

*Statewide average is weighted based on the number of terminations reported to the State for each jurisdiction.

Table A-4. Overall (Total) and Over-Standard (OST) Average Case Processing Time in Days, by Case Type and Jurisdiction Size, Circuit Courts, Fiscal Year 2025

Jurisdiction	Criminal		Civil General		Foreclosure		Family Law		Juvenile Delinquency		CINA Shelter		CINA Non-Shelter		TPR	
	Total	OST	Total	OST	Total	OST	Total	OST	Total	OST	Total	OST	Total	OST	Total	OST
Small																
Caroline	122	276	235	698	300	1132	158	522	48	107	62	73	47	-	-	-
Dorchester	120	301	224	604	324	934	152	434	41	99	35	46	-	-	286	308
Garrett	95	266	361	855	547	1389	212	558	48	104	38	55	44	77	135	-
Kent	115	210	194	766	377	2084	148	441	46	106	62	108	37	-	-	-
Queen Anne's	77	240	163	566	225	918	133	400	35	-	7	-	-	-	-	-
Somerset	172	326	152	647	320	1002	103	427	38	101	45	98	33	-	256	283
Talbot	138	319	275	828	372	1101	153	471	61	106	40	75	-	-	133	-
Small, Overall	121	291	266	764	311	1268	166	498	47	106	37	56	45	77	223	308
Medium																
Allegany	125	273	196	957	305	868	139	474	38	-	22	44	41	-	116	-
Calvert	123	293	276	740	356	1349	168	539	79	226	15	31	-	-	-	-
Cecil	105	357	243	683	276	927	166	486	86	158	44	55	-	-	319	390
St. Mary's	176	383	336	907	271	1524	183	554	50	121	19	35	-	-	-	-
Wicomico	131	308	219	1254	287	1211	144	471	24	-	49	56	33	-	-	-
Worcester	114	249	227	726	248	1182	130	489	62	130	18	-	32	82	160	-
Medium, Overall	124	309	226	839	302	1103	147	484	54	149	33	53	34	82	246	365
Medium-Large																
Carroll	117	302	224	741	228	1145	144	501	49	-	25	49	13	-	148	-
Charles	131	324	253	819	306	1024	156	497	48	109	32	46	50	66	268	268
Frederick	132	315	244	825	274	1307	172	594	56	166	25	64	65	108	144	210
Harford	175	293	301	885	278	1342	196	578	77	153	31	63	74	125	184	247
Howard	104	285	224	927	307	1285	124	504	57	132	25	37	77	133	128	-
Washington	136	322	262	744	252	1276	135	485	58	144	22	37	29	-	123	-
Medium-Large, Overall	137	305	252	844	278	1222	155	531	60	142	26	48	56	107	153	233
Large																
Anne Arundel	90	262	225	657	234	847	160	486	44	125	30	63	43	-	132	-
Baltimore City	114	330	246	792	446	1278	252	703	134	233	196	348	50	86	304	347
Baltimore County	121	357	278	962	458	1271	234	708	43	219	59	103	78	119	197	244
Montgomery	194	410	226	835	304	1301	186	541	63	131	28	52	64	130	135	229
Prince George's	142	389	269	863	281	982	207	993	60	159	25	-	37	-	334	446
Large, Overall	125	347	251	842	365	1149	207	727	64	185	120	229	53	117	236	303

Source: Maryland Judiciary Assessment Application (October 2025) “-” denotes jurisdictions with no cases of a certain type terminated in Fiscal Year 2025 or none picked up by the Assessment Application. * Jurisdiction size-specific averages are weighted based on the number of terminations reported to the State for each jurisdiction.

Table A-5. Overall and Over-Standard Median Case Processing Time in Days, by Case Type and Jurisdiction, Circuit Courts, Fiscal Year 2025

Jurisdiction	Criminal		Civil General		Foreclosure		Family Law		Juvenile Delinquency		CINA Shelter		CINA Non-Shelter		TPR	
	Total	OST	Total	OST	Total	OST	Total	OST	Total	OST	Total	OST	Total	OST	Total	OST
Allegany	116	259	156	697	278	806	90	443	36	-	22	42	41	-	108	-
Anne Arundel	70	251	198	629	175	831	131	451	42	115	28	50	44	-	132	-
Baltimore City	71	241	182	733	339	1055	147	569	88	201	31	104	43	86	259	300
Baltimore County	78	300	196	866	321	987	144	614	30	140	29	76	56	91	215	227
Calvert	115	267	238	728	249	885	117	498	35	164	14	31	-	-	-	-
Caroline	108	250	189	614	171	926	128	474	42	98	50	53	47	-	-	-
Carroll	81	256	179	648	145	862	101	460	49	-	26	49	13	-	148	-
Cecil	81	318	175	630	230	837	123	454	71	123	35	42	-	-	231	409
Charles	112	257	213	672	220	898	127	417	42	107	30	46	59	66	268	268
Dorchester	109	231	193	567	301	825	138	400	35	99	28	49	-	-	279	279
Frederick	120	272	170	710	184	954	101	503	43	122	25	64	56	108	145	210
Garrett	77	287	268	807	303	1284	130	490	33	104	27	55	27	77	138	-
Harford	155	259	247	791	182	990	138	490	57	136	27	57	35	147	178	225
Howard	101	253	191	805	193	1163	99	504	56	123	23	37	53	133	133	-
Kent	125	203	146	789	218	2084	114	437	40	102	32	108	37	-	-	-
Montgomery	151	346	185	726	185	1014	137	524	58	121	26	47	42	130	131	229
Prince George's	111	279	230	751	206	894	151	639	56	163	27	-	49	-	284	437
Queen Anne's	68	238	137	566	200	900	102	387	31	-	7	-	-	-	-	-
Somerset	140	294	106	647	277	1002	90	429	38	101	29	98	33	-	283	283
St. Mary's	140	314	299	788	239	790	123	463	43	121	21	35	-	-	-	-
Talbot	134	273	194	706	247	845	126	450	64	106	21	85	-	-	133	-
Washington	104	266	209	707	216	1276	98	425	42	126	24	34	42	-	128	-
Wicomico	106	266	181	828	266	1211	115	442	21	-	55	55	28	-	-	-
Worcester	106	239	196	676	182	1004	95	471	49	125	16	-	19	82	160	-
Statewide	110	272	194	730	226	961	117	481	48	138	28	61	47	103	171	265

Source: Maryland Judiciary Assessment Application (October 2025)

"-" denotes jurisdictions with no cases of a certain type terminated in Fiscal Year 2025 or none picked up by the Assessment Application.

*Statewide average is weighted based on the number of terminations reported to the State for each jurisdiction.

Table A-6. Overall (Total) and Over-Standard (OST) Median Case Processing Time in Days, by Case Type and Jurisdiction Size, Circuit Courts, Fiscal Year 2025

Jurisdiction	Criminal		Civil General		Foreclosure		Family Law		Juvenile Delinquency		CINA Shelter		CINA Non-Shelter		TPR	
	Total	OST	Total	OST	Total	OST	Total	OST	Total	OST	Total	OST	Total	OST	Total	OST
Small																
Caroline	108	250	189	614	171	926	128	474	42	98	50	53	47	-	-	-
Dorchester	109	231	193	567	301	825	138	400	35	99	28	49	-	-	279	279
Garrett	77	287	268	807	303	1284	130	490	33	104	27	55	27	77	138	-
Kent	125	203	146	789	218	2084	114	437	40	102	32	108	37	-	-	-
Queen Anne's	68	238	137	566	200	900	102	387	31	-	7	-	-	-	-	-
Somerset	140	294	106	647	277	1002	90	429	38	101	29	98	33	-	283	283
Talbot	134	273	194	706	247	845	126	450	64	106	21	85	-	-	133	-
Small, Overall	107	258	218	695	234	954	123	445	42	105	29	54	38	77	220	279
Medium																
Allegany	116	259	156	697	278	806	90	443	36	-	22	42	41	-	108	-
Calvert	115	267	238	728	249	885	117	498	35	164	14	31	-	-	-	-
Cecil	81	318	175	630	230	837	123	454	71	123	35	42	-	-	231	409
St. Mary's	140	314	299	788	239	790	123	463	43	121	21	35	-	-	-	-
Wicomico	106	266	181	828	266	1211	115	442	21	-	55	55	28	-	-	-
Worcester	106	239	196	676	182	1004	95	471	49	125	16	-	19	82	160	-
Medium, Overall	105	279	179	698	248	941	108	456	44	125	29	49	28	82	202	379
Medium-Large																
Carroll	81	256	179	648	145	862	101	460	49	-	26	49	13	-	148	-
Charles	112	257	213	672	220	898	127	417	42	107	30	46	59	66	268	268
Frederick	120	272	170	710	184	954	101	503	43	122	25	64	56	108	145	210
Harford	155	259	247	791	182	990	138	490	57	136	27	57	35	147	178	225
Howard	101	253	191	805	193	1163	99	504	56	123	23	37	53	133	133	-
Washington	104	266	209	707	216	1276	98	425	42	126	24	34	42	-	128	-
Medium-Large, Overall	117	261	203	736	192	1002	111	471	50	125	25	45	46	115	153	220
Large																
Anne Arundel	70	251	198	629	175	831	131	451	42	115	28	50	44	-	132	-
Baltimore City	71	241	182	733	339	1055	147	569	88	201	31	104	43	86	259	300
Baltimore County	78	300	196	866	321	987	144	614	30	140	29	76	56	91	215	227
Montgomery	151	346	185	726	185	1014	137	524	58	121	26	47	42	130	131	229
Prince George's	111	279	230	751	206	894	151	639	56	163	27	-	49	-	284	437
Large, Overall	87	275	199	753	264	966	143	574	49	146	29	86	50	97	218	277


Source: Maryland Judiciary Assessment Application (October 2025)

"-" denotes jurisdictions with no cases of a certain type terminated in Fiscal Year 2025 or none picked up by the Assessment Application.

* Jurisdiction size-specific averages are weighted based on the number of terminations reported to the State for each jurisdiction.


Appendix B: Circuit Courts Statewide Distribution of Over-Standard Cases

Figure B-1. Distribution of Over-Standard Criminal Case (N=547) Dispositions by the Time Beyond the 180-Day Time Standard, Fiscal Year 2025




- The average case processing time (weighted)
Overall: 127 days (Fiscal Year 2024: 142 days)
Within-standard cases: 88 days (Fiscal Year 2024: 89 days)
Over-standard cases: 330 days (Fiscal Year 2024: 367 days)
- 3% of the over-standard cases closed within one week over standard
- 12% of the over-standard cases closed within one month over standard
- 50% of the over-standard cases closed within approximately 6.1 months over


Figure B-2. Distribution of Over-Standard Civil General Cases (N=363) by the Time Beyond the 548-Day Time Standard, Fiscal Year 2025




- The average case processing time (weighted)
Overall: 250 days (Fiscal Year 2024: 289 days)
Within-standard cases: 201 days (Fiscal Year 2024: 221 days)
Over-standard cases: 839 days (Fiscal Year 2024: 825 days)
- 3% of the over-standard cases closed within one week over standard
- 10% of the over-standard cases closed within one month over standard
- 50% of the over-standard cases closed within approximately 7.7 months over standard

Figure B-2(a). Distribution of Over-Standard Foreclosure Cases (N=845) by the Time Beyond the 730-Day Time Standard, Fiscal Year 2025

- The average case processing time (weighted)
Overall: 343 days (Fiscal Year 2024: 354 days)
Within-standard cases: 254 days (Fiscal Year 2024: 265 days)
Over-standard cases: 1164 days (Fiscal Year 2024: 1216 days)
- 5% of the over-standard cases closed within one week over standard
- 16% of the over-standard cases closed within one month over standard
- 50% of the over-standard cases closed within approximately 3.9 months over


Figure B-3. Distribution of the Over-Standard Family Law Case (N=1,398) Dispositions by the Time Beyond the 365-Day Time Standard, Fiscal Year 2025

- The average case processing time (weighted)
 Overall: 189 days (Fiscal Year 2024: 193 days)
 Within-standard cases: 137 days (Fiscal Year 2024: 135 days)
 Over-standard cases: 654 days (Fiscal Year 2024: 595 days)
- 5% of the over-standard cases closed within one week over standard
- 21% of the over-standard cases closed within one month over standard
- 50% of the over-standard cases closed within approximately 3.1 months over standard

Figure B-4. Distribution of the Over-Standard Juvenile Delinquency Case (N=438) Dispositions by the Time Beyond the 90-Day Time Standard, Fiscal Year 2025


- The average case processing time (weighted)
Overall: 61 days (Fiscal Year 2024: 102 days)
Within-standard cases: 42 days (Fiscal Year 2024: 41 days)
Over-standard cases: 170 days (Fiscal Year 2024: 282 days)
- 10% of the over-standard cases closed within one week over standard
- 37% of the over-standard cases closed within one month over standard
- 50% of the over-standard cases closed within approximately 1.6 months over standard

Figure B-5. Distribution of the Over-Standard CINA Shelter Case (N=428) Dispositions by the Time Beyond the 30-Day Time Standard, Fiscal Year 2025




- The average case processing time (weighted)
Overall: 96 days (Fiscal Year 2024: 66 days)
Within-standard cases: 23 days (Fiscal Year 2024: 22 days)
Over-standard cases: 178 days (Fiscal Year 2024: 114 days)
- 24% of the over-standard cases closed within one week over standard
- 50% of the over-standard cases closed within one month over standard
- 50% of the over-standard cases closed within approximately 1 month over standard

Figure B-6. Distribution of the Over-Standard CINA Non-Shelter Case (N=34) Dispositions by the Time Beyond the 60-Day Time Standard, Fiscal Year 2025



- The average case processing time (weighted)
Overall: 52 days (Fiscal Year 2024: 64 days)
Within-standard cases: 38 days (Fiscal Year 2024: 40 days)
Over-standard cases: 108 days (Fiscal Year 2024: 150 days)
- 9% of the over-standard cases closed within one week over standard
- 32% of the over-standard cases closed within one month over standard
- 50% of the over-standard cases closed within approximately 1.4 months over standard

Figure B-7. Distribution of the Over-Standard TPR Case (N=102) Dispositions by the Time Beyond the 180-Day Time Standard, Fiscal Year 2025




- The average case processing time (weighted)
Overall: 216 days (Fiscal Year 2024: 231 days)
Within-standard cases: 130 days (Fiscal Year 2024: 127 days)
Over-standard cases: 296 days (Fiscal Year 2024: 316 days)
- 5% of the over-standard cases closed within one week over standard
- 18% of the over-standard cases closed within one month over standard
- 50% of the over-standard cases closed within approximately 2.8 months over standard


Appendix C: Circuit Courts Percentages of Cases Terminated Within Standard, by Jurisdiction Fiscal Years 2019 through 2025*

*Blanks or N/As in the following tables indicate a jurisdiction either had no case terminations of a specific case type or was excluded from the analysis of case processing performance entirely for a particular year.


**Percentages of Cases Terminated Within Standard by Case Type, Fiscal Years 2019-2025*
Statewide (Weighted)**




Percentages of Cases Terminated Within Standard by Case Type, Fiscal Years 2019-2025*
Allegany County (Unweighted)




Percentages of Cases Terminated Within Standard by Case Type, Fiscal Years 2019-2025*
Anne Arundel County (Unweighted)




Percentages of Cases Terminated Within Standard by Case Type, Fiscal Years 2019-2025*
Baltimore City (Unweighted)




Percentages of Cases Terminated Within Standard by Case Type, Fiscal Years 2019-2025*
Baltimore County (Unweighted)




Percentages of Cases Terminated Within Standard by Case Type, Fiscal Years 2019-2025*
Calvert County (Unweighted)




Percentages of Cases Terminated Within Standard by Case Type, Fiscal Years 2019-2025*
Caroline County (Unweighted)




Percentages of Cases Terminated Within Standard by Case Type, Fiscal Years 2019-2025*
Carroll County (Unweighted)




Percentages of Cases Terminated Within Standard by Case Type, Fiscal Years 2019-2025*
Cecil County (Unweighted)




Percentages of Cases Terminated Within Standard by Case Type, Fiscal Years 2019-2025*
Charles County (Unweighted)




Percentages of Cases Terminated Within Standard by Case Type, Fiscal Years 2019-2025*
Dorchester County (Unweighted)




Percentages of Cases Terminated Within Standard by Case Type, Fiscal Years 2019-2025*
Frederick County (Unweighted)




Percentages of Cases Terminated Within Standard by Case Type, Fiscal Years 2019-2025*
Garrett County (Unweighted)




Percentages of Cases Terminated Within Standard by Case Type, Fiscal Years 2019-2025*
Harford County (Unweighted)




Percentages of Cases Terminated Within Standard by Case Type, Fiscal Years 2019-2025*
Howard County (Unweighted)




Percentages of Cases Terminated Within Standard by Case Type, Fiscal Years 2019-2025*
Kent County (Unweighted)




Percentages of Cases Terminated Within Standard by Case Type, Fiscal Years 2019-2025*
Montgomery County (Unweighted)




Percentages of Cases Terminated Within Standard by Case Type, Fiscal Years 2019-2025*
Prince George's County (Unweighted)




Percentages of Cases Terminated Within Standard by Case Type, Fiscal Years 2019-2025*
Queen Anne's County (Unweighted)




Percentages of Cases Terminated Within Standard by Case Type, Fiscal Years 2019-2025*
Somerset County (Unweighted)




Percentages of Cases Terminated Within Standard by Case Type, Fiscal Years 2019-2025*
St. Mary's County (Unweighted)




Percentages of Cases Terminated Within Standard by Case Type, Fiscal Years 2019-2025*
Talbot County (Unweighted)



Percentages of Cases Terminated Within Standard by Case Type, Fiscal Years 2019-2025*
Washington County (Unweighted)



Percentages of Cases Terminated Within Standard by Case Type, Fiscal Years 2019-2025*
Wicomico County (Unweighted)



Percentages of Cases Terminated Within Standard by Case Type, Fiscal Years 2019-2025*
Worcester County (Unweighted)

