

PETITIONS FOR WRIT OF  
CERTIORARI

\* IN THE  
\* SUPREME COURT  
\* OF MARYLAND  
\* September Term, 2025<sup>1</sup>

O R D E R

It is this 23rd day of June 2026, by the Supreme Court of Maryland,

ORDERED that the following petitions for writ of certiorari are denied:

Pet. No. 485 – Gerald T. Brooks v. Queens Purchase, LLLP

Pet. No. 11\* – Jermaine C. Tyler v. Natasha C. Hewlett

Pet. No. 29\* – Emil Tabassi v. Mareta Tabassi

Pet. No. 30\* – Matthew Lookabaugh v. State of Maryland

Pet. No. 40\* – In the Matter of Timothy Janss, et al.

Pet. No. 41\* – 12405 Crain Holdings LLC, et al. v. Prince George's County  
Council

*Petition and Conditional Cross-Petition denied.*

Pet. No. 42\* – Lauren Smedley v. Randal Smedley, et al.

Pet. No. 44\* – Raymond Hargrove v. Keona Jones

Pet. No. 49\* – Michael Johannes v. Wicomico County Department of  
Planning & Zoning

Pet. No. 50\* – Montgomery County, Maryland v. Joseph Dennie

*Petition and Conditional Cross-Petition denied.*

*Justice Gould did not participate in the consideration of this matter.*

Pet. No. 51\* – Lisa Tate v. Gordana Schifanelli, et al.

Pet. No. 53\* – Princess Williams Evans v. Derrick Evans

Pet. No. 54\* – Rico McKnight v. Telligent Masonry

---

<sup>1</sup> Unless otherwise indicated, all petitions and motions were filed in September Term 2025. Petitions and motions indicated with an “\*” were filed in September Term 2026.

- Pet. No. 58\* – Kelton B. Ellerbe v. Mark Meyer, et al.  
Pet. No. 62\* – Kenneth Hinton v. Matthew B. Fraling, III  
Pet. No. 67\* – In Re: Estate of Dinesh O. Parikh  
*Justice Gould did not participate in the consideration of this matter.*  
Pet. No. 68\* – Louis Jarrard Bynum v. State of Maryland  
Pet. No. 70\* – Montaye Johnson v. State of Maryland  
Pet. No. 115\* – Raza Sikandar v. State of Maryland

And it is further

ORDERED that the following petitions for writ of certiorari are dismissed:

- Pet. No. 37\* – Victoria Rummel v. David Robert Rummel, Jr.  
*Petition dismissed for failure to file the documents required by Rule 8-303(b)(2) and pay the required filing fee.*  
Pet. No. 114\* – Cordeaire Davis Gray v. State of Maryland Criminal Injuries Compensation Board  
*Pursuant to Md. Rule 8-602(b)(1), the petition is dismissed as not allowed by law.*

And it is further

ORDERED that the motions for reconsideration filed in the following matters are denied.

- Pet. No. 387 – Yared Tesfaye v. State of Maryland  
Pet. No. 462 – Rabitah Moses v. Tiffany Kelly  
*Justice Killough did not participate in the consideration of this matter.*  
Pet. No. 471 – HSN, LLC v. Mayor and City Council of Baltimore  
Pet. No. 497 – Gary Shaw v. Litz Custom Homes

Pursuant to the Maryland Uniform Electronic Legal Materials Act (§§ 10-1601 et seq. of the State Government Article) this document is authentic.



Gregory Hilton, Clerk



/s/ Matthew J. Fader  
Chief Justice