

\*  
IN THE  
\*  
SUPREME COURT  
\*  
PETITIONS FOR WRIT OF CERTIORARI \*  
\*  
OF MARYLAND  
\*  
September Term, 2025<sup>1</sup>  
\*

O R D E R

It is this 26th day of May 2026, by the Supreme Court of Maryland,

ORDERED that the following petitions for writ of certiorari are denied:

- Pet. No. 461 – Clovis Akobong v. Valerine Achu
- Pet. No. 466 – Deborah Fried v. State of Maryland
- Pet. No. 468 – Nelda Fink v. Pevco Systems International, Inc., et al.
- Pet. No. 474 – John Swann v. Stacye Hill
- Pet. No. 482 – Michael Robb v. State of Maryland
- Pet. No. 487 – Sanita Rubanova v. Taylor Welsh
- Pet. No. 488 – Razak Ibrahim v. State of Maryland
- Pet. No. 494 – Edwin Grimes v. Barbara Phelps
- Pet. No. 500 – Arlin Pierce Duley v. State of Maryland
- Pet. No. 506 – John Poteat v. Maryland Transit Authority
- Pet. No. 2\* – Julie A. Gaskins v. EasyKnock, Inc. d/b/a EK Realty, et al.
- Pet. No. 3\* – Jennifer Remmes v. State of Maryland
- Pet. No. 4\* – Ashley Griffith v. Timothy Donaldson
- Pet. No. 6\* – Kayla Marie Yates v. Marcus Jawone Ganey

---

<sup>1</sup> All petitions and motions were filed in September Term 2025 unless otherwise indicated. Petitions and motions indicated with \* were filed in September Term 2026.

Pet. No. 7\* – Antonio Pierre Barnett v. State of Maryland

Pet. No. 8\* – Fitzgerald Donald Reid v. State of Maryland

Pet. No. 9\* – Heru Muhammad El v. Tamiesha Chase  
*Motion for Leave to File Petition for Writ of Certiorari Out of Time granted.*

Pet. No. 12\* – Michael Boyd v. State of Maryland

Pet. No. 14\* – Marx Carlton Jackson v. State of Maryland

Pet. No. 15\* – Nicole Peters-Humes v. Lafayette Federal Credit Union

Pet. No. 17\* – William Bailey, Sr. v. Jamia Happer

Pet. No. 18\* – In the Matter of Yunguo Gong

Pet. No. 19\* – James Davis, Jr. v. State of Maryland

Pet. No. 20\* – L. N. v. University of Maryland Medical System Corporation, et al.  
*Justice Booth did not participate in the consideration of this matter.*

Pet. No. 22\* – Linda C. Bolton, et al. v. Crowley, Hodge & Fein PC

Pet. No. 23\* – Robert Evans v. State of Maryland

Pet. No. 24\* – Gloria Merritt v. Don Marlow

Pet. No. 25\* – Thomas Dwayne Cook v. State of Maryland

Pet. No. 26\* – Brian C. Rosenberg, et al. v. William Hoffer, et al.  
*Motion to strike and for sanctions denied.*

Pet. No. 27\* – Lauren Dean v. Clayton Dean

Pet. No. 31\* – Darius Sheppard v. State of Maryland

Pet. No. 32\* – In Re: Estate of Richard W. Moore, Sr., et al.  
*“Motion to Transfer this Case to the 4th Circuit in Richmond” denied.*  
*Justice Biran did not participate in the consideration of this matter.*

Pet. No. 33\* – In the Matter of Erin Bowling

Pet. No. 34\* – Samuel Z. Alemayehu v. Bank of America, N.A.

Pet. No. 36\* – Kenneth R. Cunningham v. State of Maryland

Pet. No. 38\* – Clifton D. Shields, Jr. v. State of Maryland

Pet. No. 45\* – Marlo Cruz v. State of Maryland

Pet. No. 47\* – Terry Carter v. Rendell Hanks

Pet. No. 48\* – Terry Carter v. Alexis Y. Hanks

Pet. No. 91\* – Kent Bell v. State of Maryland

And it is further

ORDERED that the following petitions for writ of certiorari are dismissed:

Pet. No. 73\* – Philomena Odum v. Montgomery County, Maryland

*Pursuant to § 12-305 of the Courts and Judicial Proceedings Article, the petition for writ of certiorari is dismissed for lack of jurisdiction and the motion to consolidate cases is denied as moot.*

Pet. No. 74\* – Philomena Odum v. Montgomery County, Maryland

*Pursuant to § 12-305 of the Courts and Judicial Proceedings Article, the petition for writ of certiorari is dismissed for lack of jurisdiction and the motion to consolidate cases is denied as moot.*

Pet. No. 75\* – Philomena Odum v. Montgomery County, Maryland

*Pursuant to § 12-305 of the Courts and Judicial Proceedings Article, the petition for writ of certiorari is dismissed for lack of jurisdiction and the motion to consolidate cases is denied as moot.*

Pet. No. 76\* – Philomena Odum v. Montgomery County, Maryland

*Pursuant to § 12-305 of the Courts and Judicial Proceedings Article, the petition for writ of certiorari is dismissed for lack of jurisdiction and the motion to consolidate cases is denied as moot.*

Pet. No. 77\* – Philomena Odum v. Montgomery County, Maryland

*Pursuant to § 12-305 of the Courts and Judicial Proceedings Article, the petition for writ of certiorari is dismissed for lack of jurisdiction and the motion to consolidate cases is denied as moot.*

Pet. No. 78\* – Philomena Odum v. Montgomery County, Maryland

*Pursuant to § 12-305 of the Courts and Judicial Proceedings Article, the petition for writ of certiorari is dismissed for lack of jurisdiction and the motion to consolidate cases is denied as moot.*

And it is further

ORDERED that the motions for reconsideration filed in the following matters are denied.

Pet. No. 431 – Rabitah Moses v. Alex Vasquez

*Justice Killough did not participate in the consideration of this matter.*

Pet. No. 436 – Karin Marie Kendrick v. James E. Clarke, et al.

Pet. No. 498 – Anthony Quinn Wheeler, Jr. v. Giant of Maryland, LLC  
*“Petitioner’s Motion for Leave to File Supplement to Pending Petition for  
Writ of Certiorari” denied as moot.*



/s/ Matthew J. Fader  
Chief Justice

Pursuant to the Maryland Uniform Electronic Legal  
Materials Act (§§ 10-1601 et seq. of the State  
Government Article) this document is authentic.



Gregory Hilton, Clerk