

PETITIONS FOR WRIT OF CERTIORARI

IN THE
SUPREME COURT
OF MARYLAND

September Term, 2025¹

O R D E R

It is this 23rd day of December 2025, by the Supreme Court of Maryland,

ORDERED that the following petitions for writ of certiorari are denied:

Pet. No. 277 – Timothy O. Dean v. Woodmore Towne Centre at Glenardon
Homeowners Association

Pet. No. 288 – Marvin Lois Carroll v. Derek Jones, et al.

Pet. No. 291 – Kamita Gray v. Synchrony Bank

Pet. No. 298 – Denneishja Cromwell, et al. v. Joseph L. Henson, Jr.

Pet. No. 306 – In the Matter of Presidential Title LLC

Pet. No. 316 – Safiyya Abdul Bari v. State Employees Credit Union of Md Inc.

Pet. No. 317 – Hesman Tall v. Howard Community College

Pet. No. 318 – In Re: M.M. & A.M.

Pet. No. 320 – Farhad Hejazi v. Caroline Colella

Pet. No. 321 – Wayne B. Adams v. Gelfund 2 Milford Station Pikesville t/a Milford
Station

Pet. No. 322 – Sebastian A. Campbell v. State of Maryland

Pet. No. 324 – Dylan Goodrow Sheehan v. Damali Okera Smith

Pet. No. 325 – Lisa Smith v. Marie Maissen, et al.

¹ All petitions and motions were filed in September Term 2025 unless otherwise indicated.

Pet. No. 326 – Vincent Banks v. Manufacturers and Traders Trust Company

Pet. No. 330 – James Ryan v. State of Maryland

Pet. No. 331 – Eric Harley v. State of Maryland

Pet. No. 334 – Amitab Ratra v. Cross Falls Homeowners Association, Inc.

Pet. No. 336 – Francisco Pineda-Barrientos v. State of Maryland

Pet. No. 337 – M.H. & M.H. v. State of Maryland

Pet. No. 341 – Vincent Davis v. State of Maryland

Pet. No. 346 – Deborah Fried v. State of Maryland

Pet. No. 348 – Marc Brown, Jr. v. State of Maryland

Pet. No. 349 – Vincent Davis v. State of Maryland

Pet. No. 351 – Stephen Nivens v. State of Maryland

Pet. No. 371 – Robert L. Stephenson v. William M. Savage, et al.
Motion to Stay Further Sale or Enforcement denied.

And it is further

ORDERED that the following petitions for writ of certiorari are dismissed:

Pet. No. 315 – In the Matter of Thelma Floyd
Petition is dismissed for failure to pay the required filing fee.

And it is further

ORDERED that the motions for reconsideration filed in the following matters are denied.

Pet. No. 233 – Rodrick D. Cannon v. State of Maryland



/s/ Matthew J. Fader
Chief Justice

Pursuant to the Maryland Uniform Electronic Legal Materials Act (§§ 10-1601 et seq. of the State Government Article) this document is authentic.



Gregory Hilton, Clerk