k

IN THE

*

SUPREME COURT

PETITIONS FOR WRIT OF CERTIORARI

OF MARYLAND

*

September Term, 2024¹

*

ORDER

It is this 29th day of July 2025, by the Supreme Court of Maryland,

ORDERED that the following petitions for writ of certiorari are denied:

Pet. No. 244** – Michael Yates v. State of Maryland

Pet. No. 37* – Joyce Russell v. Bay Management Group LLC *Motion to Return Case to the Appellate Court of Maryland is denied.*

Pet. No. 40* – Sarah Frances Braun v. Shawin E. Pedrick

Pet. No. 42* – Tony Roberts v. State of Maryland

Pet. No. 43* – In the Matter of Timothy Janss, et al.

Pet. No. 47* – Edward D. Sutton v. Queen Anne's County Commissioners, et al.

Pet. No. 56* – Daniel Goldman v. Progressive Specialty Insurance Company

Pet. No. 77* – Jackie N. Perry, Jr. v. State of Maryland

Pet. No. 78* – Keith Butler v. Monique Wehausen

Pet. No. 79* – Juzahn Difourt v. Jayla Owens

Pet. No. 80* – Elvis Thomas v. Shannell Terrell Thomas

Pet. No. 81* – Nina Conwell v. Credit Corp Solutions Inc.

Pet. No. 83* – Chinyere Obi v. Chukwuemeka Aguoguo

¹ All petitions and motions were filed in September Term 2024 unless otherwise indicated. Petitions and motions indicated with ** were filed in September Term 2023. Petitions and motions indicated with * were filed in September Term 2025.

- Pet. No. 84* Naomi Hildegard Isaac, et al. v. Nancy Hunter, et al.
- Pet. No. 85* Latreeda Holston, et al. v. Housing Opportunities Commission of Montgomery County
- Pet. No. 87* Eva Marie Gardner v. State of Maryland
- Pet. No. 90* Ulises Vargas, et al. v. Franklin Farms Homeowners Association Inc. *Motion for Leave to Exceed Word Limit is denied.*
- Pet. No. 94* Tavon Brand v. State of Maryland
- Pet. No. 95* Maxim Smith v. State of Maryland

 Justice Gould did not participate in the consideration of this matter.
- Pet. No. 96* James Smith, Jr., et ux. v. Upper Chesapeake Medical Center
- Pet. No. 97* Bryant Strong v. State of Maryland
- Pet. No. 99* Jarryn Avery v. Hunter Piel
- Pet. No. 101* Maurice Wilkerson v. State of Maryland

 Motion to Exceed the Page Limit for a Petition for Writ of Certiorari is denied.
- Pet. No. 103* Shatika Lawson v. State of Maryland
- Pet. No. 104* Wayne Resper v. Secretary, Department of Public Safety and Correctional Services
- Pet. No. 105* Wayne Resper v. Western Correctional Institution (WCI)
- Pet. No. 111* Antonio Westmoreland v. State of Maryland
- Pet. No. 113* Reginald Dunlap v. State of Maryland
- Pet. No. 114* Denika Tokunaga v. Lance Tokunaga
- Pet. No. 115* Demond R. Maybanks v. State of Maryland
- Pet. No. 116* Brandon Mitchell v. State of Maryland
- Pet. No. 117* Brandon Corey Robinette v. State of Maryland
- Pet. No. 118* Lifeline, Inc. v. Chystal Poyner
- Pet. No. 122* FLP Global Services, LLC v. TaylorMade Solutions, LLC, et al.
- Pet. No. 128* Amos Rashad Reffell v. State of Maryland
- Pet. No. 131* Ticey Harris v. David Sundquist
- Pet. No. 133* Deshaune D. Darling v. State of Maryland

Pet. No. 135* – Habib A. Bhutta v. Maple Lawn Surgery Center, et al.

Pet. No. 136* – Emmanuel Edokobi v. Piper Industrial L.P., et al.

Petitioner's motion seeking relief from obligation to pay the costs assessed by the Appellate Court of Maryland in its mandate is denied.

Pet. No. 137* – Emmanuel Edokobi v. Piper Industrial L.P., et al.

Petitioner's motion seeking relief from obligation to pay the costs assessed by the Appellate Court of Maryland in its mandate is denied.

Pet. No. 140* – Mark Anthony Booker v. State of Maryland

Pet. No. 141* – Kyree Gregory v. State of Maryland

And it is further

ORDERED that the following petitions for writ of certiorari are dismissed:

Pet. No. 110* – Hesman Tall v. Comptroller of Maryland - Annapolis Pursuant to Md. Rule 8-602(b)(1), the petition is dismissed as not allowed by law.

Pet. No. 112* – Markis Russell v. State of Maryland

Pursuant to § 12-202 of the Courts and Judicial Proceedings Article, the
petition for writ of certiorari is dismissed for lack of jurisdiction.

And it is further

ORDERED that the motions for reconsideration filed in the following matters are denied.

Pet. No. 497 – In the Matter of Marlon Ford

Pet. No. 19* – Michael D. Fleming v. Freedom Mortgage *Motion to reinstate interlocutory appeal is denied.*



/s/ Matthew J. Fader
Chief Justice

Pursuant to the Maryland Uniform Electronic Legal Materials Act (§§ 10-1601 et seq. of the State Government Article) this document is authentic.



Gregory Hilton, Clerk