

ATTORNEY GRIEVANCE
COMMISSION OF MARYLAND

v.

MATTHEW JAMES PLACHE

*
*
*
*
*
*
*

IN THE
SUPREME COURT
OF MARYLAND
AG No. 32
September Term, 2022

CORRECTED ORDER

In its Petition for Disciplinary or Remedial Action, the Attorney Grievance Commission requested that the Court impose reciprocal discipline to that imposed by the United States Court of Federal Claims, that is an indefinite suspension. Pursuant to Rule 19-737(c), the Court required the Commission to serve the respondent with the petition and required the Commission and the respondent to show cause why corresponding discipline should not be imposed. The Commission filed a response concluding that “[e]xceptional circumstances as defined in Rule 19-737(e) do not exist and reciprocal discipline should be imposed.” The respondent did not file a response.

Accordingly, it is this day 24th of March 2023, by the Supreme Court of Maryland,

ORDERED that the respondent, Matthew James Plache, be indefinitely suspended from the practice of law in the State of Maryland; and it is further

ORDERED, that the Clerk of this Court shall strike the name of Matthew James Plache from the register of attorneys in this Court and shall certify that fact to the

Trustees of the Client Protection Fund of the Bar of Maryland and all Clerks of all judicial tribunals in this State in accordance with Maryland Rule 19-761(b).

/s/ Matthew J. Fader
Chief Justice

Pursuant to the Maryland Uniform Electronic Legal Materials Act (§§ 10-1601 et seq. of the State Government Article) this document is authentic.



Gregory Hilton, Clerk