



ADMINISTRATIVE OFFICE OF THE COURTS

GOVERNMENT RELATIONS
INFORMATION TECHNOLOGY
INTERNAL AFFAIRS
JUDICIAL COLLEGE OF MARYLAND
OPERATIONS
PROGRAMS

Questions/Responses No. 1 to the Request for Proposals (RFP) K16-0115-25C Cost-Benefit Analysis for Maryland DUI Courts

Ladies and Gentlemen:

The following questions for the above referenced RFP were received by e-mail and are answered and posted for all prospective Offerors. The statements and interpretations contained in the following responses to questions are not binding on the Maryland Judiciary unless the RFP is expressly amended. Nothing in the Maryland Judiciary's response to these questions is to be construed as agreement to or acceptance by the Maryland Judiciary of any statement or interpretation on the part of the Offeror asking the question.

1. **Question:** Is the implication that we are to use the results of these evaluations to become informed about MD DWI Court processes that we need to understand to build our cost benefit models? Or does the project require a process evaluation of these four courts?

Response: No process evaluation is needed. We just need the cost-benefit analysis.

2. **Question:** Are we to use the results of their impact evaluations to estimate recidivism and other outcomes for all four courts or is an impact/outcome evaluation of these courts required for this project?

Response: The impact/outcome evaluation is required as part of this project.

3. **Question:** Has the AOC funded any similar studies in the past of the impact and/or effectiveness of the DUI courts?

Response: There has been three previous evaluations. Howard Co Process Evaluation '08 and a Cost-Benefit Evaluation '09 and an Anne Arundel Co Cost-Benefit Evaluation '09

4. **Question:** Does the AOC have an estimated range of fee, which they anticipate for this Cost-Benefit Analysis?

Response: No

Issued by Yashica Forrester
Procurement Officer
June 1, 2016